arXiv:1605.04690v1 [math.CO] 16 May 2016

Multiple list colouring of planar graphs

Xuding Zhu*

Abstract

This paper proves that for each positive integer m, there is a planar graph G which is not $(4m + \lfloor \frac{2m-1}{9} \rfloor, m)$-choosable. Then we pose some conjectures concerning multiple list colouring of planar graphs.

Keywords: fractional choice number, multiple list colouring, planar graph.

1 Introduction

A b-fold colouring of a graph G is a mapping ϕ which assigns to each vertex v of G a set $\phi(v)$ of b colours, so that adjacent vertices receive disjoint colour sets. An (a, b)-colouring of G is a b-fold colouring ϕ of G such that $\phi(v) \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$ for each vertex v. The *fractional chromatic number* of G is

$$\chi_f(G) = \inf\{\frac{a}{b} : G \text{ is } (a, b)\text{-colourable } \}.$$

An a-list assignment of G is a mapping L which assigns to each vertex v a set $L(v)$ of a permissible colours. A b-fold L-colouring of G is a b-fold colouring ϕ of G such that $\phi(v) \subseteq L(v)$ for each vertex v. We say G is (a, b)-choosable if for any a-list assignment L of G, there is a b-fold L-colouring of G. The *fractional choice number* of G is

$$ch_f(G) = \inf\{\frac{a}{b} : G \text{ is } (a, b)\text{-choosable } \}.$$

It was proved by Alon, Tuza and Voigt [1] that for any finite graph G, $\chi_f(G) = ch_f(G)$ and moreover the infimum in the definition of $ch_f(G)$ is attained and hence can be replaced by minimum. This implies that if G is (a, b)-colourable, then for some integer m, G is (am, bm)-choosable. As every planar graph is 4-colourable (which is equivalent to $(4, 1)$-colourable), we know for each planar graph G, there is an integer m such that G is $(4m, m)$-choosable. However, the integer m depends on G. We prove in this paper that there is no integer m so that every planar graph is $(4m, m)$-choosable.

*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, China. E-mail: xudingzhu@gmail.com.
Grant Number: NSFC 11571319.

Theorem 1 *For each positive integer m, there is a planar graph G which is not $(4m + \lfloor \frac{2m-1}{9} \rfloor, m)$-choosable.*

The $m = 1$ case of Theorem 1 is equivalent to say that there are non-4-choosable planar graphs, which was proved by Voigt [8]. A smaller non-4-choosable planar graph was constructed [6], a 3-colourable non-4-choosable planar graph was constructed by Gutner [3, 9] and it was prove in [3] that it is NP-complete to decide if a given planar graph is 4-choosable.

2 The proof of Theorem 1

In this section, m is a fixed positive integer. Let $k = \lfloor \frac{2m-1}{9} \rfloor$. We shall construct a planar graph G which is not $(4m + k, m)$-choosable.



Figure 1: The graph G

Lemma 2 *Let G be the graph as shown in Figure 1. There is a list assignment L of G for which the following hold:*

1. *$|L(s)| = 4m + k$ for each vertex s, except that $|L(u)| = |L(u')| = m$.*
2. *There is no m-fold L-colouring of G.*

Proof. Let A, B, C, D be pairwise disjoint sets of colours such that $|A| = |B| = m$ and $|C| = |D| = 2m + k$. Let X, X' be disjoint subsets of C with $|X| = |X'| = m$. Define list assignment L as follows:

- $L(u) = A$ and $L(u') = B$.
- $L(v) = L(w) = L(t) = L(t') = A \cup B \cup C$.
- $L(x) = L(a) = X \cup A \cup D$, and $L(x') = L(a') = X' \cup A \cup D$.
- $L(y) = L(b) = X \cup B \cup D$, and $L(y') = L(b') = X' \cup B \cup D$.
- $L(z) = L(c) = L(z') = L(c') = A \cup B \cup D$.

We shall show that there is no m-fold L-colouring of G. Assume to the contrary that ϕ is an m-fold L-colouring of G. Then $\phi(u) = A$ and $\phi(u') = B$ and $\phi(v), \phi(w)$ are two disjoint m-subsets of C.

Let $S = G - (\phi(v) \cup \phi(w))$. We have $|S| = k$. As X, X' are disjoint subsets of C, we have

$$|X \cap S| + |X' \cap S| \leq |S| = k.$$

By symmetry between the two triangles (u, v, w) and (u', v, w), we may assume that

$$|X \cap S| \leq |X' \cap S|, \text{ and hence } |X \cap S| \leq k/2.$$

(In case $|X' \cap S| \leq \frac{k}{2}$, then we consider the subgraph contained in the triangle (u', v, w)). Thus

$$|X \cap \phi(v)| + |X \cap \phi(w)| = |X \cap (\phi(v) \cup \phi(w))| \geq m - \frac{k}{2}.$$

By symmetry between triangles (u, v, t) and (u, w, t), we may assume that

$$|X \cap \phi(v)| \geq |X \cap \phi(w)|, \text{ and hence } |X \cap \phi(v)| \geq \frac{m}{2} - \frac{k}{4}.$$

(In case $|X \cap \phi(w)| \leq \frac{m}{2} - \frac{k}{4}$, then we consider the subgraph contained in the triangle (u, w, t)).

Let $T = X - \phi(v)$. We have

$$|T| = |X| - |X \cap \phi(v)| \leq \frac{m}{2} + \frac{k}{4}.$$

Let $R = B - \phi(t)$. As $\phi(t)$ is disjoint from $\phi(u) \cup \phi(v)\phi(w)$, we know that $\phi(t) \subseteq B \cup S$. Hence

$$|R| \leq |S| = k.$$

By deleting the colours used by the neighbours of a, b, c, respectively, we have

- $\phi(a) \subseteq D \cup T$,

- $\phi(b) \subseteq D \cup R$,
- $\phi(c) \subseteq D \cup T \cup R$.

As $\phi(a), \phi(b), \phi(c)$ are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$3m = |\phi(a) \cup \phi(b) \cup \phi(c)| \leq |D| + |T| + |R| \leq (2m+k) + \left(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{k}{4}\right) + k = \frac{5m}{2} + \frac{9k}{4} < 3m,$$

a contradiction. ■

Let $p = \binom{4m+k}{m,m,2m+k}$, and let H be obtained from the disjoint union of p copies of G by identifying all the copies of u into a single vertex (also named as u) and all the copies of u' into a single vertex (also named as u'), and then add an edge connecting u and u'. It is obvious that H is a planar graph.

Now we show that H is not $(4m+k, m)$-choosable. Let Z be a set of $4m+k$ colours. Let $L(u) = L(u') = Z$. There are p possible m-fold L-colourings of u and u'. Each such a colouring ϕ corresponds to one copy of G. In that copy of G, define the list assignment as in the proof of Lemma 2, by replacing A with $\phi(u)$ and B with $\phi(u')$. Now Lemma 2 implies that no m-fold colouring of u and u' can be extended to an m-fold L-colouring of H. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Some open problems

Thomassen proved that every planar graph is 5-choosable [7]. The proof can be easily adopted to show that for any positive integer m, every planar graph is $(5m, m)$-choosable. Given a positive integer m, let $a(m)$ be the minimum integer such that every planar graph is $(a(m), m)$-choosable. Combining Thomassen's result and Theorem 1, we have

$$4m + \lfloor \frac{2m-1}{9} \rfloor + 1 \leq a(m) \leq 5m.$$

For $m = 1$, the upper bound and the lower bound coincide. So $a(1) = 5$. As m becomes bigger, the gap between the upper and lower bounds increases. A natural question is what is the exact value of $a(m)$. We conjecture that the upper bound is not always tight.

Conjecture 3 *There is a constant integer m such that every planar graph is $(5m-1, m)$-choosable.*

It is proved recently in [4] that every planar graph is 1-defective $(9, 2)$-paintable, which implies that every planar graph is 1-defective $(9, 2)$-choosable (i.e., if each vertex has 9 permissible colours, then there is a 2-fold colouring of the vertices of G with permissible colours so that each colour class induces a graph of maximum degree at most 1.) The following conjecture, which is stronger than Conjecture 3, asserts that the 1-defective can be replaced by 0-defective.

Conjecture 4 *Every planar graph is* $(9,2)$*-choosable.*

It follows from the Four Colour Theorem that for any integer m, every planar graph is $(4m, m)$-colourable. Without using the Four Colour Theorem, it is proved very recently by Cranston and Rabern [2] that every planar graph is $(9,2)$-colourable (an earlier result in [5] shows that every planar graph G is $(5m-1, m)$-colourable with $m = |V(G)|+1$).

References

[1] N. Alon, Zs. Tuza, and M. Voigt, *Choosability and fractional chromatic numbers*, Discrete Math., 165/166:31–38, 1997. Graphs and combinatorics (Marseille, 1995).

[2] D. Cranston and L. Rabern, Planar graphs are 9/2-colorable, manuscript, 2015.

[3] S. Gutner, *The complexity of planar graph choosability*, Discrete Math. 159 (1996), no. 1-3, 119–130.

[4] M. Han and X. Zhu, *Every planar graph is* 1*-defective* $(9,2)$*-paintable*, manuscript, 2016.

[5] A.J.W. Hilton, R. Rado, and S.H. Scott, *A* (< 5)*-colour theorem for planar graphs*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 5:302–306, 1973.

[6] M. Mirzakhani,*A small non-4-choosable planar graph*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 17 (1996), 15–18.

[7] C. Thomassen, *Every planar graph is* 5*-choosable*, J. Combin. Theory B, 64(1994),101–107.

[8] M. Voigt *List colourings of planar graphs*, Disc. Math., 120(1993), 215–219.

[9] M. Voigt and B. Wirth, *On 3-colorable non-4-choosable planar graphs*, J. Graph Theory 24 (1997), no. 3, 233–235.